

## REMARKS

In the Office Action, dated June 28, 2005, the Examiner states that Claims 1-12 are pending, Claims 1-3 and 5-11 are rejected, Claim 4 is objected to and Claim 12 is allowed. By the present Amendment, Applicants amend the claims and the drawings.

In the Office Action, drawing Figure 4 is objected to because reference numeral 30 is not readable. The Applicants herewith submit a clearer copy of Figure 4 to replace the previous copy. No new matter has been added.


In the Office Action, Claims 6, 7 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being incomplete. The Applicants have amended Claims 6 and 7 to provide antecedent basis for "said channel". Claim 10 has been amended to provide for the structural relationship between the pedal and the organ via the base.

In the Office Action, Claims 1-3, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Pfaffenberger et al. (US 6,426,619). Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Matthews (US 4,306,697). However, Claim 12 is allowed and Claims 4 and 11 are indicated as containing allowable subject matter.

The Applicants have amended independent Claims 1, 6 and 10 to include the allowable subject matter of Claims 4 and 11. As such, the Applicants consider each of the claims as amended to be allowable.

In light of the foregoing response, all the outstanding objections and rejections are considered overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that this application should now be in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicant  
Brian W. Hameder  
c/o Ladas & Parry LLP  
224 South Michigan Avenue  
Chicago, Illinois 60604  
(312) 427-1300  
Reg. No. 45613

November 28, 2005

Date